

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/038,603	01/08/2002	Steven Doe	1076.40919X00	. 7770
20457 75	590 01/28/2004		EXAMI	NER
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			NEGRON, ISMAEL	
<b>SUITE 1800</b>			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON,	VA 22209-9889	22209-9889		

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan.	10/038,603	DOE, STEVEN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ismael Negron	2875	•
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatie  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a son. a reply within the statutory minimum of thin exerciod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communical BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>26 June 2003</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un			is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,7,8 and 10-18 is/are rejected to 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,6 and 9 is/are objected to 8.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 10-18 is/are rejected to 10-18 is/are objected to 10-18 is/are o	hdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers	······		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 June 2003 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the poath or declaration is objected to by the specific of the specific	e: a) accepted or b) object of the drawing (s) be held in abeyard orrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domaince a specific reference was included in the since a specific reference was included in the since a specific reference was included in the since a specific reference was included in the foreign languages 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for document of the foreign languages 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for document of the first sentence was included in the first sentence.	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies not mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. ne first sentence of the specific e provisional application has b mestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	pplication No received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data Seen received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a speci	heet.
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N</li> </ol>	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	٠.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed on June 26, 2003 has been entered. Claims 1 and 15 have been amended. No claim has been cancelled. Claims 17 and 18 have been added. Claims 1-18 are still pending in this application, with claims 1 and 15 being independent.

#### Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the word "imbedded" in line 3, should read –embedded--. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7, 8 and 10-18 are under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over TSAI (U.S. Pat. 5,739,879) in view of MATSUI et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,021,931).

Tsai discloses a backlighting device for LCD, such device having:

- a radiation source, Figure 6, reference number 66;
- a layer associated with the radiation source, Figure 6, reference number 65:
- a light guide, Figure 6, reference number 60;

"Application/Control Number: 10/038,603

Art Unit: 2875

- the layer containing a matrix of particles that emit visible light in response to the radiation source, column 6, lines 16-24;
- the radiation source emitting invisible light, column 8, line 22;
- the radiation source emitting ultra-violet light (UV light),
   column 4, line 22;
- the layer containing a matrix of phosphors or fluorescent particles, column 8, lines 24-44;
- the particles being distributed to provide uniform light intensity;
- the particles being of a single color, column 6, lines 52-58;
- the particles being of different colors, column 6, lines 52-58;
- a reflector disposed on the layer, column 6, lines 45-47; and
- an LCD, column 5, lines 55-60.

Tsai discloses all the limitations of the claims, except the layer being included in the light guide, the matrix being embedded in the light guide, or the LCD being used in a mobile telephone.

Matsui et al. discloses an apparatus for backlighting display panels, such light guide having:

- a light guide, Figure 2, reference number 10;
- the light guide having a matrix of particles embedded therein,
   column 2, lines 19-27; and
- the particles mitting light in response to stimulating light, column 2, lines 19-27.

Page 3

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to combined the teachings of Matsui et al. with the device of Tsai to increase the efficiency and intensity of the backlighting device, while at the same time reducing its thickness, as per the teachings of Matsui et al. (see column 2, lines 54-64).

Page 4

In addition, the Examiner takes Official notice of Matsui et al. statement regarding the old and well known in the art status of using fluorescent and/or phosphorescent particles to increase the efficiency of backlighting devices for image display systems (see column 1, lines 11-32).

Regarding the LCD display of Tsai being an electronic display, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made would have recognized such LCD display being an electronic display.

Regarding the LCD being used in a mobile telephone, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to use the LCD illumination device in a mobile phone to improved the visibility and efficiency of such mobile telephone LCD, as per the teachings of Tsai (see column 4, lines 10-18).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 6 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Applicant teaches an LCD backlighting device having radiation source and a

"Application/Control Number: 10/038,603

Art Unit: 2875

layer associated with the radiation source, such layer containing a matrix of particles that emit visible light in response to the radiation source, to illuminate a LCD. The radiation source is planar or consisting of a plurality of point-like sources.

No prior art was found teaching individually, or suggesting in combination, all of the features of the applicant's invention, specifically an LCD backlighting device having a planar or point-like radiation sources for exiting a matrix of particles.

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ismael Negron whose telephone number is (571) 272-2376. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra L. O'Shea, can be reached at (571) 272-2378. The facsimile machine number for the Art Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

> Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Page 6

January 24, 2004